

Routing Area Chairs' Training

Driving and Judging Consensus

Wednesday 27th August 2014

Meeting Schedule

Recorded Session

- Scope and Objectives [10 : 10/90]
- Overview and Principles (Pete Resnick) [25 : 35/90]
- Questions and Discussion [10 : 45/90]

Closed Meeting

- Further Discussion [10 : 55/90]
- Case Histories [20 : 75/90]
- Closing discussion [10 : 85/90]

Wrap-up and plans for next meeting [5 : 90/90]

Scope and Objectives

- We want to develop a shared understanding of the nuances of consensus amongst our chairs
 - Discussing your experiences and opinions is critical to this
- Driving consensus is a critical part of a chair's job
- Judging consensus is obviously important
- Consensus is not an easy concept
 - It is not well understood even by long-time IETFers
 - If this didn't need judgement we would have already written code
- We want to give you background and support
 - What is consensus?
 - How to work towards consensus?
 - How to handle difficult cases?
- Pete Resnick
 - RFC 7282 "On Consensus and Humming in the IETF"

Overview and Principles of Consensus

- What are the objectives of the IETF?
- How does the IETF make decisions?
- When do chairs need to know about consensus in their WGs?
- Why aren't there clear engineering rules for judging consensus?
 - Can't we just vote?
- What does “rough consensus” mean?
 - What percentage of opinion qualifies?

Judging Consensus

- What questions should I ask to find out if there is consensus?
 - Can I verify my understanding of consensus?
 - When and how to use hums?
 - But you can't hum in email
 - Ask for showstoppers rather than asking for agreement
 - Avoid votes
- Do all issues have to be addressed?
 - Drive issues to closure
 - What does “in the rough” mean?
 - Chairs can use their technical judgement
- How do I know it when I see it?
 - Counting people, weighing opinions, hearing concerns
 - By the time you need to ask, you should already know

Advanced Considerations

- How can I make consensus happen?
 - How and when to drive consensus
 - Who else can drive consensus?
- Active consensus versus apathy
 - Is it just the authors, or do other people care?
 - Soliciting reviews from individuals (information versus interest)
 - Failure to reach consensus is not failure
- Breaking deadlocks
 - Even splits of opinion – just pick one! (flip a coin and handle the consequences)
 - Heated disagreements might never come to consensus
 - Failure to reach consensus is not failure

Tricky Issues

- Manipulation and blocking of consensus
 - What do people try to do?
 - How can you resist?
- Asking for help?
 - When might you need help?
 - Recusal
 - Whom can you ask?
 - What can you ask them to do?

Questions and Discussion

- You are still being recorded!

Closed Meeting : Not Recorded

- Any further comments and discussions?
- Case Histories...
 - Michael and Ines (draft-thubert-6man-flowlabel-for-rpl)
 - Repeated objections
 - General apathy
 - Last-minute alternative solutions
 - How/if to judge last call consensus?
 - Andy (PWE3)
 - When a WG is winding down and energy is failing
 - Is lack of energy equal to apathy?
 - Jeff (BFD)
 - When people move on
 - When late stages of an I-D take a long, long time
 - When we wait for implementations
 - Any others?

Final Thoughts

- Your chance to share!
 - What don't you like about...
 - Consensus in the IETF
 - The way participants approach consensus
 - The way other chairs handle consensus
 - How ADs expect you to report consensus
- Any other thoughts

Organizational Details

- Was this useful?
 - Subject matter?
 - Organization?
- Next Meeting
 - Wednesday 17th September
 - Same time (11am EST)
 - Chairs chat and discussion
 - Send topics if you want to be on the agenda
 - If you don't send ideas we may pick on you
 - Possible topics...
 - How to plan meeting agendas
 - What should meeting minutes include
 - Coordinating YANG models across the Area
 - How to get support as a chair
- Next training topic will be “People management: how to say no”