Routing Area Security Presentation

Christopher Inacio

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213



© 2015 Carnegie Mellon University

Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University

Legalese

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0003 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense.

References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by Carnegie Mellon University of its Software Engineering Institute.

NO WARRANTY

THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution except as restricted below.

This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

Carnegie Mellon®, CERT ®, CERT Coordination Center® and Flocon® are registered marks of Carnegie Mellon University. DM-0001893



Routing Area Security Awareness Agenda



Routing for Defense/Offense Security Operations View of Routing Making the Internet Safer via Routing Passive Pervasive

Thought games



Carnegie Mellon University

Presentation Title Date 00, 2015 © 2015 Carnegie Mellon University Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited

Routing for Defense/Offsense



Software Engineering Institute

Carnegie Mellon University

© 2015 Carnegie Mellon University

Using routing to Advantage

Defense

- Routing is useful as another information source
 - E.g. RouteViews
 - Like to be able to trace traffic backwards*
- Use good routing and connectivity
 - Path diversity
 - Provider diversity



Using routing to Advantage

Offense

- Chose attack paths wisely, prefer path diversity
- Use RouteViews, chose highly variable routes
- Internet structure
 - Routes indicate physical structures
 - Undersea cable maps
 - Determine highest value points
- EPEG cable example

Presentation Title Date 00, 2015 © 2015 Carnegie Mellon University Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited



Using routing to Advantage



Submarinecablemap.com



Presentation Title Date 00, 2015 © 2015 Carnegie Mellon University Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited



Submarinecablemap.com





CERT | 🚔 Software Engineering Institute | Carnegie Mellon University

Presentation Title Date 00, 2015 © 2015 Carnegie Mellon University Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution is Unlimited

Name optional



📕 Software Engineering Institute 🛛 🤇

Carnegie Mellon University

© 2015 Carnegie Mellon University

For most security operations:

- NOT routing experts
- Know how to use traceroute
- Assume routing is fairly static
 - Path congestion, maintenance windows, etc
- Love ASN's*



Generalized Data Enrichment

- 1. Network ``event'' occurs (scariest is IDS outbound event)
- 2. Get IDS alert type and get associated CVE
- 3. Get OS type, version, patch level, etc. for internal IP, check for CVE match
- 4. Use passive DNS logs to search for DNS lookup match for external IP address
- 5. Fingerprint OS of external IP if possible



Generalized Data Enrichment (continued)

- 1. Get ASN for external IP
- 2. Lookup ASN in whois database mirror to get organization info
- 3. (maybe) traceroute to trace back packet source
- 4. Geolocate IP address
- 5. Add internal IP tracking history
- 6. Check event type and internal IP address
 - 1. Can our internal asset even participate in event type (e.g. Inbound Solaris attack against our Linux machine ignore)



- ASN's •
 - Conveniently placed into the Internet so that defense network • operations can attribute packets
 - Used to determine potential badness of slices of Internet ٠
 - Might legitimately indicate a "bullet proof host" •
- Most net defenders are not analyzing route views ٠
- Most net defenders (possible including this one :) aren't routing ٠ experts



Making the Internet Safer via Routing

Name optional



📄 Software Engineering Institute 🛛 (

Carnegie Mellon University

© 2015 Carnegie Mellon University

Making the Internet Safer Via Routing

Why does this still happen?

- February 2008 Pakistan hijacks YouTube (
- April 2010 China hijacks part of Internet, including NIPRNet
- February 2013 Belarus hijacks traffic from Mexico destined to US (Renesys)



Making the Internet Safer Via Routing

Good behavior from ISPs:*

- Use the best practices
 - Deploying antispoofing measures
 - Have max prefix filters from downstream partners
- Global Tier 1 forces best practices downward*
 - All tier 1 providers have to do participate
 - Refuse/block updates from misbehaving downstream ISPs
 - Communicate / Educate customers downstream
 - Require downstream customers to require this implemented as well
- Use new protocols and security functions too.

* - suggestions from Rachel Kartch



Name optional



🚔 Software Engineering Institute | (

Carnegie Mellon University

© 2015 Carnegie Mellon University

- Really hard to prevent
 - Require encryption down to layer-2
 - Key management?
 - Large data volume + probably not frequently enough rotated key = ??
 - New hardware to handle this
 - REALLY hard to keep a secret if you give it to EVERYBODY
 - Just ask the DVD crypto people about this...
 - Relatively small amount of data to grab IP + transport + ports + number of packets & bytes
 - We can store these flow records in under 15 bytes per record



- Generally not too hard to ``guess'' at traffic for first order understanding:
 - IP 1.2.3.4 <-> 5.6.7.8, proto TCP, ports 22 <-> 3847
 - SSH session
- More sophisiticated:
 - Number of packets + size of packets
 - Interpacket timing
 - = human or machine using flow
- Slightly harder (exercise for the reader)
 - Method to find FTP file transfers
 - <u>http://tools.netsa.cert.org/silk/analysis-handbook.pdf</u> (shameless plug)

- If one knows the physical structure of the Internet
- If one can get access to physical Internet Exchanges
- If one can find submarine cable choke points
- Then passive pervasive is potentially really cheap and really effective
- It's also really hard to mitigate



Thought Games

Name optional



🚔 Software Engineering Institute | (

Carnegie Mellon University

© 2015 Carnegie Mellon University

Thought Games

- What if a rogue ISP can inject some Anycast routes?
- What if those Anycast routes were only visible by a relatively small targeted set of users?
- What if that was used to hijack DNS
 - How would you find it?
 - What would you do to fix it?

