Week 1 IDR chair’s summary of Week 1 of Adoption Poll Q2

Week 1 Summary: (7/6 to 7/13)

CAR/CT adoption – 18 individual contributors (9 non-authors, 9 co-authors on either draft).

- CAR – 5 supports (1 non-authors, 4 CAR co-authors), 2 opposed (2 CT co-authors)
- CT – 12 supports (7 non-co-authors, 5 co-authors), 2 opposed (2 CAR co-authors)
- Adopt both as experimental and let the market decide – 2 supporters

Since the IDR chairs agree with the summary of Jeff Haas (IDR Co-chair) posted a summary on March 21, 2022 that for route resolution and route origination/propagation, BGP-CAR and BGP-CT are functionally identical, but operationally different.

(https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/msg/idr/e69NRd9i2aG0WUxFkShEfQHZsHo/)

Due this this fact, we targeted questions on this adoption call to see if the wider WG agreed with the IDR Chair’s assessment. With widely varying opinions, the IDR chairs needs to hear from each WG member.

In a portion of this thread the co-authors on these two drafts are debating the operational pros/cons in their two drafts. This is appropriate for this adoption call, but I will be moving these types of discussion to a different email thread denoted as “Question 3: Operational Pros/Cons”. Co-authors on these two drafts should post their view on adoption of these three drafts and the 3 questions. However, the detailed debate on operational pros/cons should move to the Q3 mail thread.

All three adoption call threads (Q1, Q2, and Q3) will be examined by IDR co-Chairs in coming to a decision on adoption.