

DNSEXT WG interim

2010-02-16

“Tree equivalence” in the DNS

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Let us know you're here

If you're not in the WebEx meeting, please send email to <dnsext-chairs@tools.ietf.org>. We have the same “blue sheet” rules as for in-person meetings.

Goals

- Determine whether there is a problem to be solved
- Determine whether there is a problem *this WG* can solve & what's in scope
- Determine whether we have proposals worth working on
- Determine whether we have workers to do the work

Rough agenda

- 1st 25 minutes devoted to “problem to be solved” questions
 - If the answer is “no”, we end early
- 2d 20 minutes devoted to winnowing direction for work
- Last 10 minutes devoted to figuring out whether we will do the work

Is this a real problem?

Can we solve this?

- Is real equivalence possible without DNSng?
- What sacrifices do we think acceptable?
- What did existing answers not do right?

What, of any of the proposals, should *not* be pursued?

**Are you willing to work
on this?**

Yes, we're taking names.